Advanced International Journal for Research (AIJFR)
E-ISSN: 3048-7641 ● Website: www.aijfr.com ● Email: editor@aijfr.com

Talent Management Practices and Employee Retention in Malaysia and Uganda: A Comparative Integrative Review

Anas Tajudin[1], Walusimbi Yunus[2], Salmah Ndagire[3], Kakaire Abdu[4], Muzungu Ibrahim[5], Katumba Faizal[6], Anson Leow Pei Iu[7]

[1]Twintech International University College of Technology, Selangor, Malaysia
[2,3,4,5,6]Islamic University in Uganda, Uganda
[7]MAIWP International University, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Abstract
Employee retention has become a strategic concern in emerging economies where organizations compete for scarce skills while facing resource constraints, labor-market mobility and changing employee expectations. This article compares talent management practices associated with employee retention in Malaysia and Uganda. It adopts an integrative review approach and synthesizes literature on talent attraction, learning and development, performance management, career management, rewards, employee engagement and succession planning. The review is interpreted through social exchange theory, the resource-based view and job embeddedness theory. The comparison indicates that Malaysian organizations generally operate within more formalized and technology-enabled talent systems, whereas Ugandan organizations often rely on less standardized practices constrained by limited budgets, weak career structures and uneven managerial capability. Across both contexts, retention is strengthened when talent practices are perceived as fair, developmental, credible and aligned with employee expectations. The article contributes a context-sensitive framework showing that talent management affects retention through perceived organizational support, career visibility, engagement and job embeddedness, while institutional conditions shape the strength of these relationships. It recommends integrated talent systems, transparent career pathways, manager accountability, differentiated rewards and evidence-based workforce analytics.

Keywords: talent management; employee retention; Malaysia; Uganda; social exchange theory; job embeddedness; emerging economies

1. Introduction

The retention of skilled employees is a persistent strategic challenge because voluntary turnover disrupts service continuity, erodes organizational knowledge and increases recruitment, induction and training costs (Cui et al., 2026). The problem is especially significant in emerging economies, where organizations frequently compete for a limited pool of specialized employees while operating under financial, institutional and technological constraints (Marquis & Raynard, 2015). Talent management has therefore moved beyond a narrow human-resource function to become a strategic process through

which organisations identify critical positions, attract capable employees, develop their competencies and sustain their commitment (Kravariti & Johnston, 2020).

Although talent management is widely associated with retention, the relationship is not automatic (Pandita & Ray, 2018). Organizations may invest in training, performance appraisal or rewards without achieving retention when these practices are fragmented, unfairly administered or disconnected from credible career opportunities (Lankanath, 2025; Tweedie et al., 2019). Employees interpret talent practices as signals of whether the organisation values their contribution and intends to maintain a long-term relationship (King, 2017; Tej et al., 2021). Consequently, the effectiveness of talent management depends not only on the availability of formal programs but also on their consistency, transparency and perceived fairness (Anlesinya & Amponsah-Tawiah, 2020; Musakuro & de Klerk, 2021) .

Malaysia and Uganda provide analytically useful comparative settings. Both are developing economies seeking to build skilled and productive workforces, yet they differ in labor-market maturity, institutional capacity, industrial structure, digitalization and organizational resources. Malaysia has a more industrialized and technologically embedded economy, and many organisations have introduced structured competency frameworks, digital performance systems and formal career development (Rahim & Iqbal, 2025; Yunus et al., 2024). Uganda has a younger labor market in which organisations increasingly recognize talent management, but implementation is frequently constrained by limited budgets, informal human-resource systems, weak succession planning and competition for scarce professional skills (Eyamu et al., 2021).

Existing literature commonly examines talent management within a single country, industry or organizational category. Comparative evidence between Southeast Asian and Sub-Saharan African settings remains limited, and many studies treat talent practices as universally transferable. This creates a contextual gap because practices that are effective in one institutional environment may not produce equivalent outcomes elsewhere. The purpose of this study therefore is to critically compare talent management practices associated with employee retention in Malaysia and Uganda and to develop a context-sensitive explanatory framework.

The study addresses three questions: (1) Which talent management practices are most consistently associated with employee retention? (2) How do organizational and institutional conditions in Malaysia and Uganda shape the implementation of these practices? and (3) Through which mechanisms do talent management practices influence employees' decisions to remain?

2. Conceptual and Theoretical Foundation

2.1 Talent Management

Talent management refers to an integrated set of activities used to ensure that an organisation has the human capabilities required to execute its strategy (Sohel-Uz-Zaman et al., 2022). Jooss et al. (2021) and De La Calle-Duran et al. (2021) emphasize the identification of pivotal positions, the development of talent pools and the creation of differentiated human-resource systems. This strategic view contrasts with broad definitions that equate talent management with all human-resource activities. The narrower

strategic interpretation is more analytically useful because it directs attention to positions and capabilities that make a disproportionate contribution to organizational performance.

However, exclusive talent systems that concentrate development opportunities on a small elite may create perceptions of procedural unfairness among employees who are not designated as talent (Bhatia & Baruah, 2020; Kwon & Jang, 2022; Peterson et al., 2022). Inclusive approaches broaden access to development but may dilute scarce resources (Dries & Kaše, 2023; Ng et al., 2026). A balanced approach is therefore required. That is, organizations should identify strategically critical capabilities while maintaining transparent criteria and developmental opportunities for the wider workforce (Daubner-Siva et al., 2017).

2.2 Employee Retention

Employee retention is the organization's capacity to sustain an employment relationship with valued employees over time (Haque, 2023). It is distinct from turnover intention, which reflects an employee's stated likelihood of leaving, and from actual turnover, which is an observed separation. Retention is influenced by job satisfaction and organizational commitment, but it also depends on job embeddedness; the links, fit and perceived sacrifice that make departure costly or unattractive (Mitchell et al., 2001).

A critical implication is that retention should not be treated as the simple absence of resignation (Yadav & Sharma, 2024). Employees may remain because of commitment, limited alternatives, contractual restrictions or economic necessity (Cappelli & Eldor, 2023). Sustainable retention is therefore characterized by continued membership accompanied by engagement, performance and willingness to contribute (Cachón-Rodríguez et al., 2022) .

2.3 Social Exchange Theory

Social exchange theory explains retention through reciprocity (Blau, 1964; Harden et al., 2018). When employees receive valued resources such as development, recognition, fair rewards and supervisory support, they are more likely to reciprocate through commitment, performance and continued membership (Naidu et al., 2023). Talent practices therefore influence retention when employees interpret them as evidence of organizational support rather than as isolated administrative procedures (Syah et al., 2025).

The theory also clarifies why formally similar practices may generate different outcomes (Cropanzano et al., 2017). A training program perceived as selective, politically allocated or unrelated to promotion may not create reciprocity. Conversely, modest development opportunities may have strong retention effects when they are fairly distributed and supported by credible managerial relationships.

2.4 Resource-Based View

The resource-based view positions employees' knowledge, skills and relationships as strategic resources capable of supporting sustained advantage when they are valuable, scarce and difficult to imitate (Barney, 1991). Retention protects these resources from loss and prevents competitors from acquiring organization-specific knowledge (Zhen & Usman, 2026). Talent management is therefore both a capability-building and a resource-protection mechanism.

Nevertheless, the resource-based view can over-emphasize employees as organizational assets while underplaying their agency and expectations (Gerpott, 2015). Combining it with social exchange theory corrects this limitation by recognizing that employees remain only when the employment relationship provides sufficient economic, developmental and socio-emotional value (Tomprou et al., 2020).

2.5 Job Embeddedness Theory

Job embeddedness theory explains why employees stay through three dimensions: fit, links and sacrifice (Ramaite et al., 2022). Talent practices improve fit by aligning roles with employee capabilities and aspirations, strengthen links through mentoring and teamwork, and increase sacrifice by creating valuable career opportunities and benefits that would be lost upon departure (Pachchhapur & Palo, 2025; Ramaite et al., 2022). The theory is especially relevant to comparative analysis because community and organizational links may operate differently across national cultures and labor markets.

3. Review Method

The study adopts a comparative integrative review design. This approach is appropriate for combining conceptual, theoretical and empirical literature from different methods and national settings while identifying convergence, divergence and contextual contingencies (Trein et al., 2019). The review focused on scholarship addressing talent attraction, learning and development, career management, performance management, rewards, engagement, succession planning and employee retention.

Sources were evaluated according to relevance to the study constructs, clarity of method, contextual applicability and contribution to comparative interpretation. Foundational studies were retained to establish theory, while recent studies were used to capture contemporary developments such as workforce analytics, digital talent systems, flexible work and changing employee expectations (Kozlowski et al., 2016). The analysis proceeded through thematic coding and country comparison. Because this is an integrative review rather than a statistical meta-analysis, the study does not estimate pooled effect sizes or claim causal effects (Cronin & George, 2023).

The review has two methodological boundaries. First, the availability of published evidence is uneven, with substantially more literature on formal talent systems in Malaysia than on Uganda. Second, the reviewed studies use different sectors, measures and research designs. The conclusions should therefore be understood as theoretically informed comparative propositions rather than population estimates.

4. Talent Management Practices and Retention Mechanisms

4.1 Strategic Talent Attraction and Person-Organization Fit

Recruitment affects retention before employment begins (Allen & Vardaman, 2017). Realistic job information, competency-based selection and clear communication of organizational values improve person–organization fit and reduce early exits (Nugroho, 2026). In Malaysia, employer branding and digital recruitment are increasingly used to attract mobile professional and technical employees (Aesam et al., 2025; Khalili & Mustaffa, 2023; Rahman et al., 2025). In Uganda, personal networks and conventional recruitment remain influential, but organizations are progressively adopting competency-based approaches (Namatende-Sakwa et al., 2025; Obal & Okema, 2026; Wanjiru & Joseph, 2026). The

central issue in both contexts is expectation alignment: exaggerated employment promises may improve attraction while weakening post-entry trust and retention.

4.2 Learning, Development and Employability

Learning and development consistently emerge as retention drivers because they signal investment in employees and strengthen future career prospects (Collins, 2021; Dogbe et al., 2026). Malaysian organisations are comparatively more likely to use structured training plans, competency mapping and digital learning systems (Ismail et al., 2022; Kumar et al., 2022; Law, 2024). Ugandan organisations often recognize the value of training but face budget limitations and the risk that newly trained employees will be recruited by competitors (Akiror, 2018; Tashobya et al., 2022) . This risk can lead managers to underinvest in development, creating a self-reinforcing retention problem. Development is more likely to retain employees when it is linked to role enrichment, internal mobility and visible career opportunities rather than delivered as isolated training events (McNulty & De Cieri, 2016).

4.3 Career Management and Succession Planning

Career visibility is critical because employees are more willing to remain when they can identify plausible future roles (Lent et al., 2024). Formal career ladders and succession systems are more common in larger Malaysian organizations (Chia et al., 2021; Ishak & Kamil, 2016; Kamil et al., 2016; Kumar et al., 2022). In Uganda, flatter structures, limited vacancies and informal promotion decisions may reduce confidence in internal advancement (Ntale et al., 2020). Organizations should distinguish between vertical promotion and broader career growth, including lateral mobility, specialist pathways, project leadership and expanded responsibility. Transparent succession criteria also reduce perceptions of favoritism.

4.4 Performance Management and Recognition

Performance management contributes to retention when it provides clear expectations, developmental feedback and credible recognition (Urme, 2023). It weakens retention when appraisal is irregular, punitive or disconnected from rewards and promotion (Kamale, 2025). Technology can improve consistency, but digital systems do not compensate for poor managerial capability (Park & Mithas, 2020). The comparative evidence suggests that line managers are decisive translators of formal talent policy into employee experience in both Malaysia and Uganda.

4.5 Compensation, Benefits and Perceived Fairness

Competitive pay remains important, particularly where inflation, housing costs and labour-market mobility create financial pressure (Heil, 2020). However, pay alone rarely secures sustainable retention. Employees compare rewards internally and externally and respond strongly to perceived inequity (Hasyim & Bakri, 2024). Malaysian organisations may have greater capacity to offer differentiated benefits, flexible work and performance incentives (Alias et al., 2021; Ramakrishnan & Arokiasamy, 2019). Ugandan organisations often operate within tighter compensation constraints, making non-financial rewards, supervisory support, recognition and career development especially important (Mukiibi, 2018; Nakafeero & Bigabwenkya, 2021). The key mechanism is distributive and procedural fairness rather than absolute reward level alone.

4.6 Employee Engagement, Leadership and Organizational Support

Employees are more likely to remain when leaders communicate respectfully, involve them in decisions and provide the resources required for performance (LaGree et al., 2023). Perceived organizational support transforms formal talent practices into relational commitment (Yuan et al., 2026). Poor supervisory behavior can neutralize otherwise strong talent systems (Frederiksen et al., 2020). Leadership quality is therefore not a separate issue from talent management; it is the delivery infrastructure through which employees experience development, recognition and career support.

4.7 Flexible Work, Well-being and Work–Life Integration

Post-pandemic employment expectations have increased the strategic importance of flexibility and well-being (Raksakhwamdee et al., 2026). In Malaysia, hybrid work and digital collaboration have expanded in knowledge-intensive sectors (Sharef et al., 2024; Tung et al., 2022; Venkatasawmy & Yeap, 2024). In Uganda, flexibility varies considerably by sector and infrastructure (Evans et al., 2018; Ssennyonjo et al., 2018). Flexible arrangements improve retention when they are operationally feasible, fairly administered and supported by outcome-based performance management (Ramakrishnan & Arokiasamy, 2019). When access is unequal or workloads remain excessive, flexibility can generate resentment rather than commitment.

5. Comparative Analysis: Malaysia and Uganda

Dimension	Malaysia	Uganda	Comparative implication
Institutionalization of talent systems	More formalized in medium and large organisations; greater use of competency frameworks and HR technology.	Often less formalized, especially in resource-constrained organisations; implementation varies widely.	Formal systems matter only when consistently implemented and trusted.
Learning and development	Greater access to structured programs and digital learning.	Strong demand for development, but budgets and training continuity may be constrained.	Development should be linked to internal career opportunities to avoid 'train-and-lose' outcomes.
Career management	More visible career ladders and succession planning in established firms.	Flatter structures and informal promotion may restrict career visibility.	Alternative career pathways are essential where vertical promotion is limited.
Rewards	Broader use of incentives, benefits and flexible arrangements.	Greater sensitivity to pay adequacy and inflation; limited reward flexibility in some sectors.	Fairness, recognition and total rewards should complement salary.
Technology and	More extensive	Uneven adoption due	Technology should

analytics	adoption of HR information systems and workforce analytics.	to cost, infrastructure and capability differences.	support—not substitute for—managerial judgement and employee trust.
Labor-market context	Competitive professional labor market with regional and international mobility.	Scarcity of specialized skills alongside unemployment and underemployment.	Retention strategies must be differentiated by occupational scarcity and employee alternatives.
Cultural and relational factors	Formal systems coexist with relational and collectivist expectations.	Interpersonal trust, supervisor relations and social networks are highly influential.	Relational quality moderates the effect of formal talent practices in both settings.

Source: Primary data, 2026

The comparison shows that the principal difference is not whether talent management matters, but how reliably organizations can institutionalize it. Malaysian organizations generally have stronger infrastructure for formal talent systems, whereas Ugandan organizations may depend more heavily on managerial discretion and relational practices. This does not imply that Malaysian practices can be transferred unchanged. A highly formal system may still fail where employees perceive low fairness, limited voice or weak managerial support (Jenkins & Delbridge, 2013). Conversely, Ugandan organizations can strengthen retention without replicating expensive systems by improving transparency, manager accountability, career conversations and internal mobility.

6. Proposed Comparative Framework

The review proposes that talent management practices influence employee retention through four proximal mechanisms: perceived organizational support, career visibility, employee engagement and job embeddedness. Talent attraction, development, performance management, rewards and succession planning generate retention only when employees perceive these practices as fair, credible and relevant.

The strength of these mechanisms is conditioned by organizational and national context. Organizational resources, HR capability, leadership quality, labor-market alternatives, digital infrastructure and institutional enforcement affect whether formal practices are implemented consistently. The framework therefore rejects a universal 'best practice' assumption and supports a contextual 'best fit' approach.

Proposition 1: Integrated talent management practices are positively associated with employee retention when employees perceive them as evidence of organizational support.

Proposition 2: Career visibility mediates the relationship between learning and development and employee retention.

Proposition 3: Perceived fairness strengthens the retention effect of performance management and rewards.

Proposition 4: Job embeddedness mediates the relationship between relational talent practices and employee retention.

Proposition 5: Organizational resources, HR digital capability and labor-market conditions moderate the effectiveness of talent management practices.

Proposition 6: The relative importance of formal systems and relational management differs between Malaysia and Uganda, but both are required for sustainable retention.

7. Discussion

The review confirms that employee retention is produced by a system of mutually reinforcing practices rather than by a single intervention. Training without career opportunity may increase external employability (Martini & Cavenago, 2017); performance appraisal without developmental feedback may create anxiety (Bell et al., 2020); and competitive pay without supportive leadership may delay rather than prevent departure (Gutierrez et al., 2021). The strategic value of talent management therefore lies in internal coherence.

The comparison also demonstrates why context matters. In Malaysia, sophisticated HR technology and formal career systems can support consistency and workforce planning, but organizations must prevent bureaucratization and preserve employee voice (Laha & Dutta, 2024). In Uganda, the most immediate gains may come from strengthening basic implementation. That is, timely feedback, transparent promotion criteria, credible development plans and manager accountability. Resource constraints do not eliminate the need for talent management; they increase the importance of prioritizing high-impact practices.

The analysis challenges the assumption that retention is primarily a compensation problem. Pay remains important, but employees also remain because they experience growth, belonging, trust and meaningful work. This is consistent with social exchange and job embeddedness perspectives. It also explains why organizations with comparable pay levels may experience different retention outcomes.

A further insight concerns the risk of importing practices without institutional adaptation. Formal succession matrices, nine-box grids or artificial-intelligence-based talent analytics may appear modern, but they can undermine trust when data quality is weak or selection criteria are opaque. Q3-level scholarship should therefore avoid presenting technology as inherently progressive and instead examine governance, fairness and contextual capability.

8. Managerial and Policy Implications

For organizational leaders: Treat retention as an enterprise risk rather than an HR department issue. Require senior and line managers to account for development quality, internal mobility, regrettable turnover and succession readiness.

For human-resource managers: Integrate recruitment, onboarding, development, performance, rewards and succession into one talent architecture. Use clear eligibility criteria and communicate how employees can access opportunities.

For Malaysian organizations: Use workforce analytics to identify retention risks, but combine digital systems with human career conversations, well-being support and procedural safeguards.

For Ugandan organizations: Prioritize low-cost, high-credibility practices: regular feedback, transparent promotion, mentoring, role enrichment, recognition and targeted development for scarce skills.

For governments and professional bodies: Strengthen labor-market information, management capability, professional certification and incentives for employer investment in skills development.

For universities and training institutions: Align curricula and executive education with emerging talent analytics, strategic workforce planning, ethical AI, employee experience and retention management.

9. Limitations and Future Research

This study is an integrative review and does not provide original survey data or pooled statistical estimates. The evidence base is uneven across the two countries and includes studies from different sectors and methodological traditions. Publication bias may also favor studies reporting positive relationships between talent practices and retention.

Future research should test the proposed framework using matched samples from Malaysia and Uganda. Multi-group structural equation modelling could assess whether path coefficients differ across countries, while longitudinal designs could distinguish turnover intention from actual retention. Researchers should also examine sectoral differences, generational expectations, remote work, ethical use of people analytics and the retention experiences of employees not classified as high-potential talent.

10. Conclusion

Talent management contributes to employee retention when it creates a credible employment relationship in which employees experience support, growth, fairness and meaningful attachment. Malaysia and Uganda differ in the institutionalization, technological sophistication and resource intensity of talent systems, but the underlying retention mechanisms are comparable. Sustainable retention requires more than isolated training or compensation initiatives. It depends on an integrated architecture that connects recruitment promises, managerial behavior, development, career opportunity, recognition and employee well-being.

The central contribution of this review is a context-sensitive comparative framework. It shows that formal talent practices create retention through perceived organizational support, career visibility, engagement and job embeddedness, while national and organizational conditions shape implementation.

Organizations should therefore pursue evidence-based best fit rather than imitate universal best practices.

Declarations

Funding: The authors received no specific funding for this work.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data availability: No primary dataset was generated because the article is an integrative literature review.

Author contributions: All authors contributed to the conceptualization, literature synthesis, critical review and approval of the final manuscript.

References

1. Aesam, N. Z. A., Tamyez, P. F. M., Tan, J. B., Tarusan, M. A. E., & Aliman, N. S. N. (2025). Attracting Top Talent Among Fresh Graduates: Perspectives From Graduate Recruiters. Journal of Human Capital Development (JHCD), 18(2), 47-59. https://doi.org/10.54554/jhcd.2025.18.2.4
2. Akiror, A. P. (2018). Staff Training and Employee Performance in the Public Sector in Uganda: The Case of Ministry of Finance Planning and Economic Development Uganda Management Institute].
3. Alias, E. S. B., Razak, A. Z. A. A., Hudin, N. S., & Sharif, S. (2021). Supervisor support as a moderator between flexible working arrangement and job performance–Malaysia evidence. Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education 12, 525-539.
4. Allen, D. G., & Vardaman, J. M. (2017). Recruitment and retention across cultures. Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, 4, 153-181.
5. Anlesinya, A., & Amponsah-Tawiah, K. (2020). Towards a responsible talent management model. European Journal of Training and Development, 44(2-3), 279-303. https://doi.org/10.1108/EJTD-07-2019-0114
6. Barney, J. B. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. Journal of Management and Business Education, 17(1), 99-120. https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108
7. Bell, C. M., Goldman, A., Vogus, C., Zhang, I., & Merritt, S. (2020). Don't shoot the messenger: Causes and levers of manager performance appraisal anxiety Journal of Organizational Psychology, 20(6). https://doi.org/10.33423/jop.v20i6.3825
8. Bhatia, R., & Baruah, P. (2020). Exclusive talent management and its consequences: a review of literature: Bhatia R., Baruah P. Asian Journal of Business Ethics, 9(2), 193-209. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13520-020-00105-8
9. Blau, P. M. (1964). Exchange and power in social life John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated.
10. Cachón-Rodríguez, G., Blanco-González, A., Prado-Román, C., & Del-Castillo-Feito, C. (2022). How sustainable human resources management helps in the evaluation and planning of employee loyalty and retention: Can social capital make a difference? Evaluation and program planning, 95, 102171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2022.102171

11. Cappelli, P., & Eldor, L. (2023). The use of contracts on employees: Their widespread use, and the implications for management. Academy of Management Annals, 17(1), 268-300. https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2021.0034
12. Chia, C., Ghavifekr, S., & Razak, A. (2021). Succession planning practices and challenges in Malaysia's public universities: A systematic review International Online Journal of Educational Leadership, 5(1), 44-60.
13. Collins, C. J. (2021). Expanding the resource based view model of strategic human resource management. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 32(2), 331-358. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2019.1711442
14. Cronin, M. A., & George, E. (2023). The why and how of the integrative review. Organizational Research Methods, 26(1), 168-192. https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428120935507
15. Cropanzano, R., Anthony, E. L., Daniels, S. R., & Hall, A. V. (2017). Social exchange theory: A critical review with theoretical remedies. Academy of Management Annals, 11(1), 479-516. https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2015.0099
16. Cui, Z., Li, C., & Chen, M. (2026). An empirical study of the dynamics of collective employee turnover and its implications for dismissal policy—evidence from a distribution center. Human Resource Management Journal, 36(2), 345-365. https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.70030
17. Daubner-Siva, D., Vinkenburg, C. J., & Jansen, P. G. (2017). Dovetailing talent management and diversity management: the exclusion-inclusion paradox. Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance, 4(4), 315-331. https://doi.org/10.1108/JOEPP-02-2017-0019
18. De La Calle-Duran, M. C., de la Luz Fernandez-Alles, M., & Valle-Cabrera, R. (2021). Talent identification and location: A configurational approach to talent pools. Intangible Capital, 17(1), 17-32. https://doi.org/10.3926/ic.1440
19. Dogbe, C. S. K., Doku, P. A., Yeboah, E. E., & Appiah-Kubi, E. (2026). Talent management and employee retention: role of employee development. Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance, 13(4), 833-851. https://doi.org/10.1108/JOEPP-10-2024-0506
20. Dries, N., & Kaše, R. (2023). Do employees find inclusive talent management fairer? It depends. Contrasting self-interest and principle. Human Resource Management Journal, 33(3), 702-727. https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12501
21. Evans, J., O'Brien, J., & Ch Ng, B. (2018). Towards a geography of informal transport: Mobility, infrastructure and urban sustainability from the back of a motorbike. . Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers, 43(4), 674-688. https://doi.org/10.1111/tran.12239
22. Eyamu, S., Wanyama, S. B., Ndanyi, D. M., & Sansa, A. (2021). A critical analysis of new public management, human resource practices and operational performance in Uganda's public sector. Journal of Human Resource Management, 9(4), 102-114.
23. Frederiksen, A., Kahn, L. B., & Lange, F. (2020). Supervisors and performance management systems. Journal of political economy, 128(6), 2123-2187. https://doi.org/10.1086/705715
24. Gerpott, F. H. (2015). The right strategy? Examining the business partner model's functionality for resolving human resource management tensions and discussing alternative directions. German Journal of Human Resource Management, 29(3-4), 214-234. https://doi.org/10.1177/239700221502900304

25. Gutierrez, C., Obloj, T., & Frank, D. H. (2021). Better to have led and lost than never to have led at all? Lost leadership and effort provision in dynamic tournaments. Strategic management journal, 42(4), 774-801. https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.3247
26. Haque, S. M. S. (2023). Building lasting bonds: Exploring effective employee retention techniques in contemporary organizations. European Journal of Human Resource Management Studies, 7(1). https://doi.org/10.46827/ejhrms.v7i1.1561
27. Harden, G., Boakye, K. G., & Ryan, S. (2018). Turnover intention of technology professionals: A social exchange theory perspective. Journal of computer information systems, 58(4), 291-300. https://doi.org/10.1080/08874417.2016.1236356
28. Hasyim, H., & Bakri, M. (2024). Pay and incentive inequality: A systematic review of their effects on work motivation. Paradoks: Jurnal Ilmu Ekonomi, 7(1), 69-84.
29. Heil, M. (2020). How does finance influence labour market outcomes? A review of empirical studies. Journal of Economic Studies, 47(6), 1197-1232. https://doi.org/10.1108/JES-03-2019-0147
30. Ishak, A., & Kamil, B. (2016). Succession planning at HEIs: leadership style, career development and knowledge management practices as its predictors. International Review of Management and Marketing, 6(7), 214-220.
31. Ismail, A., Syakir, A. H. A., Bahrudin, I. A., Samsudin, N. A., & Shafieek, M. S. S. (2022). Implementation of distance and digital learning during pandemic Covid-19 in Malaysia. Online Journal for TVET Practitioners, 7(1), 8-19.
32. Jenkins, S., & Delbridge, R. (2013). Context matters: Examining 'soft'and 'hard'approaches to employee engagement in two workplaces. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 24(14), 2670-2691. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2013.770780
33. Jooss, S., Burbach, R., & Ruël, H. (2021). Examining talent pools as a core talent management practice in multinational corporations. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 32(11), 2321-2352. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2019.1579748
34. Kamale, M. Y. (2025). Performance and reward systems among academic staff in Nigerian public universities: Challenges and strategic interventions. . ADSU International Journal of Politics, Administration and Management (AIJPAM), 2(2).
35. Kamil, B. A. M., Hashim, J., & Hamid, Z. A. (2016). Managing talents in higher education institutions: how effective the implementation of succession planning? Sains Humanika, 8(4-2). https://doi.org/10.11113/sh.v8n4-2.1062
36. Khalili, A. B., & Mustaffa, N. (2023). Assessment on interconnection between personal branding and job recruitment among students through a digital platform (TikTok). Al-i'lam-Journal of Contemporary Islamic Communication and Media, 3(2). https://doi.org/10.33102/jcicom.vol3no2.86
37. King, K. A. (2017). The talent climate: Creating an organisational context supportive of sustainable talent development through implementation of a strong talent system. Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance, 4(4), 298-314. https://doi.org/10.1108/JOEPP-03-2017-0023
38. Kozlowski, S. W., Mak, S., & Chao, G. T. (2016). Team-centric leadership: An integrative review. Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, 3, 21-54. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-041015-062429
39. Kravariti, F., & Johnston, K. (2020). Talent management: a critical literature review and research agenda for public sector human resource management. Public Management Review, 22(1), 75-95. https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2019.1638439

40. Kumar, J. A., Richard, R. J., Osman, S., & Lowrence, K. (2022). Micro-credentials in leveraging emergency remote teaching: the relationship between novice users' insights and identity in Malaysia. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 19(1), 18. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-022-00323-z
41. Kwon, K., & Jang, S. (2022). There is no good war for talent: A critical review of the literature on talent management. Employee Relations: The International Journal, 44(1), 94-120. https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-08-2020-0374
42. LaGree, D., Houston, B. D., M., & Shin, H. (2023). The effect of respect: Respectful communication at work drives resiliency, engagement, and job satisfaction among early career employees. International Journal of Business Communication, 60(3), 844-864. https://doi.org/10.1177/23294884211016529
43. Laha, S., & Dutta, M. (2024). Working conditions of subnational government workers in selected South and South-East Asian countries In ILO Working Paper.
44. Lankanath, R. (2025). Human resource management in the gig economy: A critical review of integration and performance management strategies for a contingent workforce. Research Journal in Business and Economics, 3(3), 87-102. https://doi.org/10.61424/rjbe.v3i3.561
45. Law, M. Y. (2024). Leadership and change management in the transition to online curriculum delivery in Malaysia: a comparative case study. . Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education, 16(4), 1146-1159. https://doi.org/10.1108/JARHE-02-2023-0054
46. Lent, R. W., Brown, S. D., Wang, R. J., Cygrymus, E. R., & Moturu, B. P. (2024). Looking ahead, looking around, and looking to others: Identifying core proactive behaviors in the quest for career sustainability. Journal of Career Assessment, 32(3), 539-559. https://doi.org/ 10.1177/10690727231209777
47. Marquis, C., & Raynard, M. (2015). Institutional strategies in emerging markets. Academy of Management Annals, 9(1), 291-335. https://doi.org/10.5465/19416520.2015.1014661
48. Martini, M., & Cavenago, D. (2017). The role of perceived workplace development opportunities in enhancing individual employability International Journal of Training and Development, 21(1), 18-34. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijtd.12091
49. McNulty, Y., & De Cieri, H. (2016). Linking global mobility and global talent management: the role of ROI. Employee Relations, 38(1), 8-30. https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-08-2015-0157
50. Mukiibi, B. (2018). The influence of employee rewards management practices on the performance of small scale enterprises in Uganda. International Journal of Technology and Management, 3(1), 1-18. https://doi.org/10.67610/9z05rt88
51. Musakuro, R. N., & de Klerk, F. (2021). Academic talent: Perceived challenges to talent management in the South African higher education sector. SA Journal of Human Resource Management, 19, 1394.
52. Naidu, P., Majid, A. H. A., & Chuah, F. (2023). Equity sensitivity and employee retention through the lenses of social exchange theory among specialist doctors in Malaysia. Journal of Accounting and Finance in Emerging Economies, 9(4), 553-564. https://doi.org/10.26710/jafee.v9i4.2854
53. Nakafeero, A., & Bigabwenkya, S. (2021). Role of rewards on staff commitment in non-government organisations in Uganda: A case study of International Aid Services. The Ugandan Journal of Management and Public Policy Studies, 20(1), 1-17.

54. Namatende-Sakwa, L., Kiambati, F., Luyima, J., Busingye, K., Isingoma, J., Anguyo, M., & Kakooza, A. (2025). From a knowledge-based to a competence-based curriculum: jnsights into opportunities and threats to implementation in Uganda. Journal of Curriculum Development, Evaluation, and Education (JCDEE), 1(2), 90-106.
55. Ng, E. S., Stanton, P., Cooke, F. L., Budhwar, P., Rivera Piedra, D., Liu, L. A., Vaiman, V., Park, K., Leong, C.-H., & Malik, A. (2026). Reframing HRM for a multipolar world: insights from the Asia-Pacific region. Personnel Review, 1-42. https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-02-2026-0320
56. Ntale, P. D., Ssempebwa, J., Musisi, B., Musoke, G. G., Joseph, K., Mugimu, C. B., & Ntayi, J. (2020). Gaps in the structuring of organizations in the graduate employment context in Uganda. Journal of Organization Design, 9(1), 3. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41469-020-0066-5
57. Nugroho, J. (2026). Competency-based recruitment and selection for enhancing human resource performance in Indonesian higher education institutions. Al-Ishlah: Jurnal Pendidikan, 18(1), 1377-1388.
58. Obal, R., & Okema, J. N. (2026). Community-Based Experiential Learning as a Missing Pillar of Competence-Based Higher Education in Uganda: Lessons from Northern Uganda. Research Square. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-10314220/v1
59. Pachchhapur, S., & Palo, S. (2025). Beyond job embeddedness: towards a theory of talent embeddedness in organisations. South Asian Journal of Human Resources Management, 23220937261466737. https://doi.org/10.1177/23220937261466737
60. Pandita, D., & Ray, S. (2018). Talent management and employee engagement–a meta-analysis of their impact on talent retention. Industrial and Commercial Training, 50(4), 185-199. https://doi.org/10.1108/ICT-09-2017-0073
61. Park, Y. S., & Mithas, S. (2020). Organized complexity of digital business strategy: A configurational perspective MIS quarterly, (), . 44(1), 85-128. https://doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2020/14477
62. Peterson, J., Tahssain-Gay, L., & Laila, B.-N. (2022). The impact of exclusivity in talent identification: sources of perceived injustice and employee reactions. Employee Relations: The International Journal, 44(6), 1217-1240. https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-03-2021-0123
63. Rahim, Z. A., & Iqbal, M. S. (2025). Bridging digital gaps: a framework to enhance digital economy competences in Malaysia. Journal of Advanced Research in Applied

64. Sciences and Engineering Technology, 64(2), 219-238. https://doi.org/10.37934/araset.64.2.219238
65. Rahman, R. H. A., Yusof, H. M., Norazman, I., Hassan, M. A., & Fakhruddin, F. M. (2025). Attracting and retaining Gen Z talent in Malaysia SMEs. In Leveraging Human Capital in Entrepreneurial Strategies (pp. 183-216). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-6006-4.ch007
66. Raksakhwamdee, W., Pruetipibultham, O., & Crocco, O. S. (2026). The shifting landscape of employee experience: Flexibility, digital connectivity, and well-being Advances in Developing Human Resources, 28(1), 72-95. https://doi.org/10.1177/15234223251407564
67. Ramaite, M., Rothmann, S., & van der Vaart, L. (2022). Job embeddedness profiles: Associations with supervisor relations, job satisfaction, and work engagement. Cogent Psychology, 9(1), 2080322. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311908.2022.2080322

68. Ramakrishnan, S., & Arokiasamy, L. (2019). Flexible working arrangements in Malaysia; A study of employee's performance on white collar employees Global Business and Management Research, 11(1), 551-559.
69. Sharef, N. M., Yahaya, W. A. W., & Alli, H. (2024). Future-proofing workforce: High-skilled talent development strategies. Journal of Emerging Technologies and Industrial Applications, 3(2).
70. Sohel-Uz-Zaman, A. S. M., Kabir, A. I., Osman, A., & Jalil, M. B. (2022). Strategic human resource management, human capital management and talent management: Same goals many routes. Academy of Strategic Management Journal, 21(1), 1-15.
71. Ssennyonjo, A., Namakula, J., Kasyaba, R., Orach, S., Bennett, S., & Ssengooba, F. (2018). Government resource contributions to the private-not-for-profit sector in Uganda: evolution, adaptations and implications for universal health coverage International Journal for Equity in Health, 17(1), 130. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-018-0843-8
72. Syah, T. Y. R., Kustiawan, U., Muhmin, A. H., Stevelyne, M., Lianti, L., & Suyitno, E. (2025). Empowering talent: how talent management, thriving at work, and employee voice shape turnover intentions. Cogent Business & Management, 12(1), 2596429. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2025.2596429
73. Tashobya, C., Nimusima, P., Mugabe, R., & Begumisa, B. (2022). The Impact of Job Training on Employee's Satisfaction: A Study in Public Institutions in Western Uganda. . UKH Journal of Social Sciences, 6(2), 1-8. https://doi.org/10.25079/ukhjss.v6n2y2022.pp1-8
74. Tej, J., Vagaš, M., Ali Taha, V., Škerháková, V., & Harničárová, M. (2021). Examining HRM practices in relation to the retention and commitment of talented employees. Sustainability, 13(24), 13923. https://doi.org/10.3390/su132413923
75. Tomprou, M., Xanthopoulou, D., & Vakola, M. (2020). Socio-emotional and monetary employee-organization resource exchanges: Measurement and effects on daily employee functioning. Work & Stress, 34(2), 189-214.
76. Trein, P., Meyer, I., & Maggetti, M. (2019). The integration and coordination of public policies: A systematic comparative review. Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice, 21(4), 332-349. https://doi.org/10.1080/13876988.2018.1496667
77. Tung, S. S., Dorasamy, M., & Ab Razak, R. (2022). Knowledge creation for digital innovation in Malaysia: practitioners' standpoint. Sustainability, 14(19), 12375. https://doi.org/10.3390/su141912375
78. Tweedie, D., Wild, D., Rhodes, C., & Martinov-Bennie, N. (2019). How does performance management affect workers? Beyond human resource management and its critique. International Journal of Management Reviews, 21(1), 76-96. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijmr.12177
79. Urme, U. N. (2023). The impact of talent management strategies on employee retention. International Journal of Science and Business, 28(1), 127-146. https://doi.org/10.58970/IJSB.2209
80. Venkatasawmy, R., & Yeap, P. F. (2024). Issues and challenges for educational leadership in the implementation of ICT-intensive strategies for higher education in post-pandemic Malaysia. In The Palgrave Handbook of Crisis Leadership in Higher Education (pp. 377-395). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-54509-2_20
81. Wanjiru, M. I., & Joseph, W. G. (2026). Enhancing quality assurance monitoring and evaluation and the promotion of competence-based education in Uganda: A case of Kampala International University. F1000Research, 15, 55. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.173999.1

82. Yadav, S., & Sharma, S. (2024). The importance of employee retention: Building the foundation for sustainable businesses. SUSTAINABLE, 88.
83. Yuan, Y., Hu, X., Liu, B., Ullah, I., & Liu, P. (2026). Beyond coming home: how common good HRM transforms repatriates into organizational resilience The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 1-27. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2026.2709094
84. Yunus, M. Z. M., Mohamad, M., Bahari, A., & Ngadimin, N. F. (2024). Way forward: Future skills framework, strategies, and action plan for Malaysian talent development. Journal of Vocational Education and Training, 27(1), 45-62.
85. Zhen, J., & Usman, A. B. (2026). The influence of talent management practices on employees' intention to stay in Chinese private enterprises: Evidence from a PLS-SEM Study. Peta International Journal of Social Science and Humanity, 5(3), 68-90. https://doi.org/10.59088/1a6w0v93